

State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT Governor

GREG BELL Lieutenant Governor

Department of **Environmental Quality**

Amanda Smith Executive Director

DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY Walter L. Baker, P.E. Director

C/607/033 Incoming C/615/032 CC: Jim Pete Kal Steve C.

November 10, 2009

Mr. Dave Shaver, Resident Agent UtahAmerican Energy, Inc. Genwal Resources, Inc. P.O. Box 910 East Carbon, UT 84520-0910

Subject:

Inspection Reports - UPDES Permit Nos. UT0024368 (Crandall Canyon Mine),

UT0025674 (Andalex Tower Mine), and UTG040007 (Wildcat Laodout).

Dear Mr. Shaver:

On November 4, 2009 I conducted Reconnaissance Inspections, while already in the area, in regards to your UPDES permits referenced above. Specifically I observed the outfalls, discharges and receiving waters as appropriate at each facility.

Enclosed are copies of the inspection reports for your records. Please review the reports, particularly the narrative sections and contact me with any questions. I appreciate your continued efforts to keep me informed of all the operations. If you have any questions, please contact me at (801) 538-6779 or by email at jstudenka@utah.gov.

Sincerely,

Jeff Studenka, Environmental Scientist

UPDES IES Section

Enclosures

cc (w/encl):

Amy Clark, EPA Region VIII

Claron Bjork, SE District Health Department

Dave Ariotti, SE District Engineer

Daron Haddock, Division of Oil Gas & Mines

RECEIVED NOV 1 5 2009

DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

F:\wp\Genwal-Westridge-Tower Mines\RIs 11-4-2009 covltr.doc

INSPECTION PROTOCOL

UPDES Permit #: UT0024368 – Genwal Resources Crandall Canyon Mine

Inspection Type: Reconnaissance Inspection

Inspection Date: November 4, 2009 Weather Conditions: Sunny and warm, ~ 50 °F

Leff Studenke of the Division of Weter Quality (DWQ) visited the

Jeff Studenka of the Division of Water Quality (DWQ) visited the UtahAmerian Energy, Inc., Crandall Canyon Mine Facility (a.k.a. Genwal Resources, Inc.) while already in the area. The purpose for the site visit was to perform a reconnaissance inspection as a result of on-going elevated total iron concentrations in the mine water discharge as noted during the previous inspections.

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Location: Within Manti-LaSal National Forest, approximately 1.5 miles up Crandall Canyon,

off Hwy 31 in Huntington Canyon, ~15 miles northwest of Huntington, Utah.

Coordinates: Outfall 001 – 39° 27' 38" latitude, -111° 09' 38" longitude

Outfall 002 - 39° 27' 38" latitude, -111° 09' 59" longitude

Average Flow: ~ 0.75 MGD (Outfall 002)

Receiving water: Crandall Creek to Huntington Creek

<u>Process</u>: Former underground coal mining operation. Water from the inactive mine is gravity conveyed to below ground settling areas, where it is then piped out of the mine and discharged to the Crandall Creek culvert (Outfall 002). Surface water is conveyed to an above ground settling pond with a discharge point (Outfall 001) to Crandall Creek.

INSPECTION SUMMARY

This inspection was limited to outside the mine where the water collection and distribution systems are exposed. At the time of the inspection, the sedimentation pond was well below discharge levels. The outfall locations were observed as well as the receiving waters of Crandall Creek. Above the mine site, Crandall Creek was flowing very clear and steady at the upstream flume location, however below the mine site significant rust-colored deposits were observed immediately adjacent to the Crandall Canyon culvert and Outfall 002 and continuing downstream towards Huntington Creek. The discoloration is likely from the elevated total iron in the effluent discharge depositing in the stream channel over the past several months. The iron treatment facility was observed to be on-site and nearing completion with some construction activities presently on-going.

DEFICIENCIES

Although there were no additional deficiencies noted from this inspection, the on-going numeric effluent limitation violations listed as a deficiency on the February 3, 2009 inspection report and the Narrative Standard for Water Quality violation, which was listed as a deficiency in the August 2009 inspection reports due to the discoloration of the stream channel, still remain a concern until the iron treatment system is operating properly.

These issues are being addressed under separate cover with Notice of Violations (NOVs) and formal enforcement actions, as required.

REQUIREMENTS

- 1. Finalize and implement your corrective action plan to treat the mine water for excessive total iron concentrations and keep DWQ informed of your progress.
- 2. Continue monitoring for dissolved iron as well as total iron and report all results to DWQ.
- 3. Continue regular visual monitoring of the entire stream channel for any impacts to fish and other wildlife and report any such impacts to DWQ and other agencies as appropriate.
- 4. Upon receipt of the forthcoming NOV Settlement Agreement (SA), please respond within 14 days as required and contact DWQ with any questions that you may have. As you are aware, once the SA is finalized, then the enforcement action and associated violations can formally be resolved.



United States Environmental Protection Agency Washington, D.C. 20460

Water Compliance Inspection Report

Section A: National Data System Coding (i.e., ICIS)					
Transaction Code NPDES U T 0 0 2 4 3 6 8 11	yr/mo/day	Inspection Type	S 2 2 20 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19		
Inspection Work Days Facility Self-Monitoring Evaluation Rating 1	BI QA D 71 72	73 74	Reserved		
	on B: Facility Data				
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to I		Entry Time/ Date	Permit Effective Date		
Name and Location of Facility hispected (For industrial users discharging to hand NPDES permit number)	FOTW, also include 1 OTW hame	3:30 pm/11-4-2009	12-1-2005		
Crandall Canyon Mine (a.k.a. Genwal Resources, Inc.) UtahAmerican Energy, Inc. ~1.5 miles up Crandall Canyon off Hwy. 31 in Huntington Canyon NW of Huntington, UT		Exit Time/ Date 3:50 pm/11-4-2009	Permit Expiration Date 11-30-2010		
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s)		Other Facility Data (e.g.	, SIC NAICS, and other		
N/A		descriptive information)	oal Underground Mining		
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number		SEE ATTACHED			
Bruce Hill, President, President (435) 888-4008 Dave Shaver, Resident Agent (435) 888-4017 UtahAmerican Energy, Inc. P.O. Box 1077 Price, UT 84501	Contacted Yes No	SEE ATTACHED			
Section C: Areas Evaluated Duri	ng Inspection (Check only th	ose areas evaluated)	·		
Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (Check only those areas evaluated) Permit Self Monitoring Program Pretreatment MS4 Records/Reports Compliance Schedule Pollution Prevention Storm Water Storm Water Effluent/Receiving Waters Operations & Maintenance Sludge Handling/Disposal Sanitary Sewer Overflow					
Section D: Sun	nmary of Findings/Commen	ts			
(Attach additional sheets of narrative and che	cklists, including Single Eve	nt Violation codes, a	s necessary)		
SEV Codes SEV Description See Attached Narrative Report					
	I	1 - (-)	Date:		
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) JEFF STUDENKA, ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST Color Students Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s)	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Num DWQ (801) 538-6779	ber(s)	11-9-09		
N/A					
Name and Signature of Management Q A Reviewer	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Num	aber(s)	Date:		
MIKE HERKIMER, MANAGER UPDES IES SECTION White Sorkiemer	DWQ (801) 538-6058		11/18/0.		

INSTRUCTIONS

Section A: National Data System Coding (i.e., ICIS)

Column 1: Transaction Code: Use N, C, or D for New, Change, or Delete. All inspections will be new unless there is an error in the data entered.

Columns 3-11: NPDES Permit No. Enter the facility's NPDES permit number - third character in permit number indicates permit type for U=unpermitted, G=general permit, etc. (Use the Remarks columns to record the State permit number, if necessary.)

Columns 12-17: Inspection Date. Insert the date entry was made into the facility. Use the year/month/day format (e.g., 04/10/01 = October 01, 2004).

Column 18: Inspection Type*. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the type of inspection:

	P JP - Coo one of the co	
Α	Performance Audit	
В	Compliance Biomonitoring	
С	Compliance Evaluation (non-sampling)	
D	Diagnostic	
F	Pretreatment (Follow-up)	
G	Pretreatment (Audit)	
I	Industrial User (IU) Inspection	ŧ,
J	Complaints	
M	Multimedia	
N	Spill	
0	Compliance Evaluation (Oversight)	
P	Pretreatment Compliance Inspection	
D	Deservation	

- Reconnaissance Compliance Sampling
- IU Inspection with Pretreatment Audit

- Toxics Inspection Sludge - Biosolids
- Combined Sewer Overflow-Sampling \$ Combined Sewer Overflow-Non-
- Sampling Sanitary Sewer Overflow-Sampling
- Sanitary Sewer Overflow-Non-Sampling CAFO-Sampling CAFO-Non-Sampling 2
- **IU Sampling Inspection** IU Non-Sampling Inspection 3
- IU Toxics Inspection IU Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment

- IU Non-Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment
- IU Toxics with Pretreatment
- Pretreatment Compliance (Oversight)@ Follow-up (enforcement)
- Storm Water-Construction-Sampling
- Storm Water-Construction-Non-Sampling
- Storm Water-Non-Construction-Sampling
- Storm Water-Non-Construction-
- Non-Sampling Storm Water-MS4-Sampling
- Storm Water-MS4-Non-Sampling

Storm Water-MS4-Audit

Column 19: Inspector Code. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the lead agency in the inspection.

- State (Contractor) B-EPA (Contractor) E-Corps of Engineers Joint EPA/State Inspectors—EPA Lead J-
- Local Health Department (State)
- **NEIC Inspectors**

- Other Inspectors, Federal/EPA (Specify in Remarks columns) 0-
- P-Other Inspectors, State (Specify in Remarks columns)
- R-**EPA Regional Inspector**
- S-State Inspector
- Joint State/EPA Inspectors—State lea

Column 20: Facility Type. Use one of the codes below to describe the facility.

- Municipal. Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) with 1987 Standard Industrial Code (SIC) 4952.
- Industrial. Other than municipal, agricultural, and Federal facilities. 2-
- Agricultural. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 0111 to 0971. 3-
- Federal. Facilities identified as Federal by the EPA Regional Office. 4-
- 5.. Oil & Gas. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 1311 to 1389.

Columns 21-66: Remarks. These columns are reserved for remarks at the discretion of the Region.

Columns 67-69: Inspection Work Days. Estimate the total work effort (to the nearest 0.1 work day), up to 99.9 days, that were used to complete the inspection and submit a QA reviewed report of findings. This estimate includes the accumulative effort of all participating inspectors; any effort for laboratory analyses, testing, and remote sensing; and the billed payroll time for travel and pre and post inspection preparation. This estimate does not require detailed documentation.

Column 70: Facility Evaluation Rating. Use information gathered during the inspection (regardless of inspection type) to evaluate the quality of the facility self-monitoring program. Grade the program using a scale of 1 to 5 with a score of 5 being used for very reliable self-monitoring programs, 3 being satisfactory, and 1 being used for very unreliable programs.

Column 71: Biomonitoring Information. Enter D for static testing. Enter F for flow through testing. Enter N for no biomonitoring.

Column 72: Quality Assurance Data Inspection. Enter Q if the inspection was conducted as follow-up on quality assurance sample results. Enter N otherwise.

Columns 73-80: These columns are reserved for regionally defined information.

Section B: Facility Data

This section is self-explanatory except for "Other Facility Data," which may include new information not in the permit or PCS (e.g., new outfalls, names of receiving waters, new ownership, other updates to the record, SIC/NAICS Codes, Latitude/Longitude).

Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

Check only those areas evaluated by marking the appropriate box. Use Section D and additional sheets as necessary. Support the findings, as necessary, in a brief narrative report. Use the headings given on the report form (e.g., Permit, Records/Reports) when discussing the areas evaluated during the inspection.

Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments

Briefly summarize the inspection findings. This summary should abstract the pertinent inspection findings, not replace the narrative report. Reference a list of attachments, such as completed checklists taken from the NPDES Compliance Inspection Manuals and pretreatment guidance documents, including effluent data when sampling has been dore. Use extra sheets as necessary.

*Footnote: In addition to the inspection types listed above under column 18, a state may continue to use the following wet weather and CAFO inspection types until the state is brought into ICIS-NPDES: K: CAFO, V: SSO, Y: CSO, W: Storm Water 9: MS4. States may also use the new wet weather, CAFO and MS4 inspections types shown in column 18 of this form. The EPA regions are required to use the new wet weather, CAFO, and MS4 inspection types for inspections with an inspection date (DTIN) on or after July 1, 2005.

INSPECTION PROTOCOL

UPDES Permit #:

UT0025674 - Andalex Tower Mine

Inspection Type:

Reconnaissance Inspection

Inspection Date:

November 4, 2009

Weather Conditions: Sunny and mild, ~60° F

Jeff Studenka of the Division of Water Quality (DWQ) visited the ANDALEX Resources, Inc., Centennial Mines Project Tower Facility while already in the area. The purpose for the site visit was to perform an inspection to verify the inactive status of the facility since the mine water discharge has ceased in early September 2008.

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Location:

Approximately 8 miles NE of Price, Utah on Airport Road.

Coordinates: Outfall 001 (sed. pond) – 39° 43' 37" latitude, -110° 43' 18" longitude

Outfall 002 (mine water) - 39° 43' 49" latitude, -110° 43' 18" longitude

Outfall 003 (sed. pond) – 39° 43' 25" latitude, -110° 43' 18" longitude

Outfall 004 (mine water) - 39° 42' 10" latitude, -110° 44' 20" longitude

Average Flow: ~1.0 MGD from outfall 004(Thru Sept. 2008, no discharges since or from 001, 002, 003)

Receiving waters: Deadman Canyon ephemeral drainage → Hayes Wash → Price River.

Process: Prior to the summer of 2008, this was an active underground coal mining operation utilizing long-wall technology. Water from the mine was conveyed to below ground settling areas and pump stations, where it was then piped out of the mine from three pump stations and discharged to Deadman Canyon drainage (Outfall 004). Since September 2008, the mine water pumps have been shut off and removed, and there has been no discharge and none is expected into the foreseeable future. Surface water runoff is conveyed to two above ground settling ponds (001 & 003) that have not discharged to date and are not expected to discharge in the foreseeable future. Outfall 002 has not discharge in many years and it is not expected to discharge in the foreseeable future since the mine is inactive and shut down. The mine portals have been sealed and the facility remains inactive and closed.

INSPECTION SUMMARY

There were no deficiencies noted during the last inspection for follow up (CEI performed 12-9-2008). The outfall locations and sedimentation ponds were observed as well as the receiving water drainage of Deadman Canyon, which was dry at the time of the inspection. There was no evidence of any recent discharges and no deficiencies were observed.

DEFICIENCIES

None.

REQUIREMENTS

None.



United States Environmental Protection Agency Washington, D.C. 20460

Water Compliance Inspection Report

Castley A. N421	Data System Coding G a II	CIS)		
Section A: National	Data System Coding (i.e., Ic			
Transaction Code N	yr/mo/day 0 9 1 1 1 0 4 12 17	Inspection Type	Inspector Fac. Type S 19 20	
21	Remarks		66	
Inspection Work Days Facility Self-Monitoring Evaluation Rating 1	$ \begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{BI} & \mathbf{QA} \\ \boxed{\mathbf{N}} & \boxed{\mathbf{N}} \\ \hline \hline $	73 74	-Reserved	
	on B: Facility Data			
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to Fand NPDES permit number)		Entry Time/ Date 12:40 pm/11-4-2009	Permit Effective Date 12-1-2006	
ANDALEX Centennial Mines Project ~8 miles NE of Price, UT 6750 North Airport Road Price, UT 84501		Exit Time/ Date 12:50 pm/11-4-2009	Permit Expiration Date 11-30-2011	
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s)		Other Facility Data (e.g.,	SIC NAICS, and other	
N/A		descriptive information) Inactive Bituminous Co Facility SIC Code 1222 NAICS 212112	oal Underground Mining	
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number		SEE ATTACHED		
Bruce Hill, President David Shaver, Resident Agent P.O. Box 910 East Carbon, UT 84520 (435) 888-4017	Contacted Yes No			
Section C: Areas Evaluated Durin	ng Inspection (Check only th	ose areas evaluated)		
Permit				
	nmary of Findings/Commen			
(Attach additional sheets of narrative and che			s necessary)	
SEV Codes SEV Description				
	1000	1(-)	Date:	
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) JEFF STUDENKA, ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Num DWQ (801) 538-6779	ner(s)	11-9-09	
N/A				
Name and Signature of Management Q A Reviewer	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Num	iber(s)	Date:	
MIKE HERKIMER, MANAGER UPDES IES SECTION WELL KERNEY UPDES IES SECTION	DWQ (801) 538-6058		11/10/09	

INSTRUCTIONS

Section A: National Data System Coding (i.e., ICIS)

Column 1: Transaction Code: Use N, C, or D for New, Change, or Delete. All inspections will be new unless there is an error in the data entered.

Columns 3-11: NPDES Permit No. Enter the facility's NPDES permit number - third character in permit number indicates permit type for U=unpermitted, G=general permit, etc. (Use the Remarks columns to record the State permit number, if necessary.)

Columns 12-17: Inspection Date. Insert the date entry was made into the facility. Use the year/month/day format (e.g., 04/10/01 = October 01, 2004).

Column 18: Inspection Type*. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the type of inspection:

Performance Audit В Compliance Biomonitoring C D Compliance Evaluation (non-sampling) Diagnostic F

Pretreatment (Follow-up) G Pretreatment (Audit) Industrial User (IU) Inspection

Complaints M Multimedia

N O Spill Compliance Evaluation (Oversight) Pretreatment Compliance Inspection

R Reconnaissance Compliance Sampling

IU Inspection with Pretreatment Audit

Toxics Inspection

Sludge - Biosolids

Combined Sewer Overflow-Sampling \$ Combined Sewer Overflow-Non-

Sampling Sanitary Sewer Overflow-Sampling Sanitary Sewer Overflow-Non-Sampling &

\ **CAFO-Sampling** CAFO-Non-Sampling 2 IU Sampling Inspection

IU Non-Sampling Inspection 3 4 IU Toxics Inspection

IU Sampling Inspection with

Pretreatment

IU Non-Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment

IU Toxics with Pretreatment

Pretreatment Compliance (Oversight)@ Follow-up (enforcement)

Storm Water-Construction-Sampling Storm Water-Construction-Non-

Sampling Storm Water-Non-Construction-

Sampling

Storm Water-Non-Construction-

Non-Sampling Storm Water-MS4-Sampling <

Storm Water-MS4-Non-Sampling

Storm Water-MS4-Audit

Column 19: Inspector Code. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the lead agency in the inspection.

A-B-State (Contractor) EPA (Contractor)

Ē-J-Corps of Engineers

Joint EPA/State Inspectors—EPA Lead Local Health Department (State)

NEIC Inspectors

Other Inspectors, Federal/EPA (Specify in Remarks columns)

P-Other Inspectors, State (Specify in Remarks columns)

R-EPA Regional Inspector

State Inspector S-

T-Joint State/EPA Inspectors—State lea

Column 20: Facility Type. Use one of the codes below to describe the facility.

- Municipal. Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) with 1987 Standard Industrial Code (SIC) 4952.
- Industrial. Other than municipal, agricultural, and Federal facilities. 2-
- 3-Agricultural. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 0111 to 0971.
- Federal. Facilities identified as Federal by the EPA Regional Office. 4-
- 5-Oil & Gas. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 1311 to 1389.

Columns 21-66: Remarks. These columns are reserved for remarks at the discretion of the Region.

Columns 67-69: Inspection Work Days. Estimate the total work effort (to the nearest 0.1 work day), up to 99.9 days, that were used to complete the inspection and submit a QA reviewed report of findings. This estimate includes the accumulative effort of all participating inspectors; any effort for laboratory analyses, testing, and remote sensing; and the billed payroll time for travel and pre and post inspection preparation. This estimate does not require detailed documentation.

Column 70: Facility Evaluation Rating. Use information gathered during the inspection (regardless of inspection type) to evaluate the quality of the facility self-monitoring program. Grade the program using a scale of 1 to 5 with a score of 5 being used for very reliable self-monitoring programs, 3 being satisfactory, and I being used for very unreliable programs.

Column 71: Biomonitoring Information. Enter D for static testing. Enter F for flow through testing. Enter N for no biomonitoring.

Column 72: Quality Assurance Data Inspection. Enter Q if the inspection was conducted as follow-up on quality assurance sample results. Enter N otherwise.

Columns 73-80: These columns are reserved for regionally defined information.

Section B: Facility Data

This section is self-explanatory except for "Other Facility Data," which may include new information not in the permit or PCS (e.g., new outfalls, names of receiving waters, new ownership, other updates to the record, SIC/NAICS Codes, Latitude/Longitude).

Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

Check only those areas evaluated by marking the appropriate box. Use Section D and additional sheets as necessary. Support the findings, as necessary, in a brief narrative report. Use the headings given on the report form (e.g., Permit, Records/Repots) when discussing the areas evaluated during the inspection.

Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments

Briefly summarize the inspection findings. This summary should abstract the pertinent inspection findings, not replace the narrative report. Reference a list of attachments, such as completed checklists taken from the NPDES Compliance Inspection Manuals and pretreatment guidance documents, including effluent data when sampling has been done. Use extra sheets as necessary.

*Footnote: In addition to the inspection types listed above under column 18, a state may continue to use the following wet weather and CAFO inspection types until the state is brought into ICIS-NPDES: K: CAFO, V: SSO, Y: CSO, W: Storm Water 9: MS4. States may also use the new wet weather, CAFO and MS4 inspections types shown in column 18 of this form. The EPA regions are required to use the new wet weather, CAFO, and MS4 inspection types for inspections with an inspection date (DTIN) on or after July 1, 2005.

INSPECTION PROTOCOL

UPDES Permit #:

UTG040007 - Wildcat Coal Rail Loadout Facility

Inspection Type:

Reconnaissance Inspection

Inspection Date:

November 4, 2009

Weather Conditions: Sunny and mild, ~60° F

Jeff Studenka of the Division of Water Quality (DWQ) visited the ANDALEX Resources Wildcat load out facility while already in the area.

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Location:

Approximately 1 mile West of US 6 on Consumers Road, near Helper, Utah

Coordinates: Outfall 001 – 39° 39' 11" latitude, -110° 54' 56" longitude (Sed. Pond A)

Outfall 002 – 39° 39' 16" latitude, -110° 54' 58" longitude (Sed. Pond B) Outfall 003 – 39° 39' 21" latitude, -110° 54' 53" longitude (Sed. Pond C)

Outfall 004 – 39° 39' 28" latitude, -110° 54' 53" longitude (Sed. Pond D)

Outfall 005 – 39° 39' 34" latitude, -110° 54' 53" longitude (Sed. Pond E)

Outfall 006 – 39° 39' 14" latitude, -110° 55' 11" longitude (Sed. Pond F)

Average Flow: 0.0 MGD (No Discharge data collected from the six sedimentation ponds)

Receiving water: Wildcat Wash (no flow)

Process: This is an active coal storage yard and railroad load out facility. Coal from nearby mines is trucked to the Wildcat load out facility, where it is stored on site until it is loaded on railroad cars for further transportation. Surface water runoff for the load out facility is conveyed to six settling ponds, which all have the potential to discharge to Wildcat Wash upon severe storm water runoff events.

INSPECTION SUMMARY

There were no deficiencies noted during the last inspection for follow up (CEI performed 8-12-2009). The dry sediment ponds, outfall locations and dry receiving water streambed were observed with no deficiencies.

DEFICIENCIES

No deficiencies with respect to the UPDES permit were noted during the inspection.

REQUIREMENTS

A Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) was required to be completed by February 1, 2009 and will be reviewed during the next DWQ inspection. Please refer to Part I.F. of your UPDES Permit for SWPPP guidelines and requirements.



United States Environmental Protection Agency Washington, D.C. 20460

Water Compliance Inspection Report

Section A: National Data System Coding (i.e.,	ICIS)			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Inspection Type Inspector Fac. Type $\begin{bmatrix} \underline{R} \\ 18 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \underline{S} \\ 19 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \underline{2} \\ \underline{20} \end{bmatrix}$			
	66			
	Reserved			
Section B: Facility Data				
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) ANDALEX Resources, Inc., Wildcat Loadout Facility ~1 Mile West of Helper, UT on Consumers Road P.O. Box 902	Entry Time/ Date Permit Effective Date 5-1-2008 Exit Time/ Date Permit Expiration Date 2:10 pm / 11-4-2009 4-30-2013			
Price, UT 84501	2.10 pm / 11 / 2005			
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) N/A	Other Facility Data (e.g., SIC NAICS, and other descriptive information) Coal Mining Services and Support Facility SIC Code 1241 NAICS 213113 SEE ATTACHED.			
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number P. Bruce Hill, President & CEO (435) 637-5385 UtahAmerican Energy, Inc. 6750 North Airport Road P.O. Box 902 Price, UT 84501	JULIA I IACIDO.			
Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (Check only t	hose areas evaluated)			
Permit Self Monitoring Program Pretreatment MS4 Records/Reports Compliance Schedule Pollution Prevention Facility Site Review Laboratory Storm Water Effluent/Receiving Waters Operations & Maintenance Combined Sewer Overflow Flow Measurement Sludge Handling/Disposal Sanitary Sewer Overflow Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments				
(Attach additional sheets of narrative and checklists, including Single Ev	ent Violation codes, as necessary)			
SEV Codes SEV Description				
N () d Circle () of Inspector(s)	mber(s) Date:			
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) JEFF STUDENKA, ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Num DWQ (801) 538-6779	11-9-09 ·			
N/A				
Name and Signature of Management Q A Reviewer MIKE HERKIMER, MANAGER UPDES IES SECTION We will be a second of the second of t	Date:			

INSTRUCTIONS

Section A: National Data System Coding (i.e., ICIS)

Column 1: Transaction Code: Use N, C, or D for New, Change, or Delete. All inspections will be new unless there is an error in the data entered.

Columns 3-11: NPDES Permit No. Enter the facility's NPDES permit number - third character in permit number indicates permit type for U=unpermitted, G=general permit, etc. (Use the Remarks columns to record the State permit number, if necessary.)

Columns 12-17: Inspection Date. Insert the date entry was made into the facility. Use the year/month/day format (e.g., 04/10/01 = October 01, 2004).

Column 18: Inspection Type*. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the type of inspection:

Performance Audit В Compliance Biomonitoring Compliance Evaluation (non-sampling) C

Ď Diagnostic F Pretreatment (Follow-up)

Pretreatment (Audit) G Industrial User (IU) Inspection

Complaints M Multimedia Spill

O Compliance Evaluation (Oversight) Pretreatment Compliance Inspection

R Reconnaissance Compliance Sampling

IU Inspection with Pretreatment Audit

Toxics Inspection

Sludge - Biosolids Combined Sewer Overflow-Sampling \$ Combined Sewer Overflow-Non-

Sampling Sanitary Sewer Overflow-Sampling Sanitary Sewer Overflow-Non-Sampling &

CAFO-Sampling 1 = CAFO-Non-Sampling

IU Sampling Inspection 3 **IU Non-Sampling Inspection** IU Toxics Inspection

IU Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment

IU Non-Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment

IU Toxics with Pretreatment

Pretreatment Compliance (Oversight)@ Follow-up (enforcement)

Storm Water-Construction-Sampling Storm Water-Construction-Non-

Sampling Storm Water-Non-Construction-

Sampling

Storm Water-Non-Construction-

Non-Sampling Storm Water-MS4-Sampling Storm Water-MS4-Non-Sampling

Storm Water-MS4-Audit

Column 19: Inspector Code. Use one of the codes listed below to describe the lead agency in the inspection.

State (Contractor) B-EPA (Contractor)

E-Corps of Engineers Joint EPA/State Inspectors—EPA Lead J-

Local Health Department (State) NEIC Inspectors

P-

Other Inspectors, Federal/EPA (Specify in Remarks columns) Other Inspectors, State (Specify in Remarks columns)

R-**EPA Regional Inspector**

State Inspector S-

Joint State/EPA Inspectors-State lea

Column 20: Facility Type. Use one of the codes below to describe the facility.

Municipal. Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) with 1987 Standard Industrial Code (SIC) 4952.

Industrial. Other than municipal, agricultural, and Federal facilities. 2-

Agricultural. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 0111 to 0971. 3-

Federal. Facilities identified as Federal by the EPA Regional Office. 4-

Oil & Gas. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 1311 to 1389. 5-

Columns 21-66: Remarks. These columns are reserved for remarks at the discretion of the Region.

Columns 67-69: Inspection Work Days. Estimate the total work effort (to the nearest 0.1 work day), up to 99.9 days, that were used to complete the inspection and submit a QA reviewed report of findings. This estimate includes the accumulative effort of all participating inspectors; any effort for laboratory analyses, testing, and remote sensing; and the billed payroll time for travel and pre and post inspection preparation. This estimate does not require detailed documentation.

Column 70: Facility Evaluation Rating. Use information gathered during the inspection (regardless of inspection type) to evaluate the quality of the facility self-monitoring program. Grade the program using a scale of 1 to 5 with a score of 5 being used for very reliable self-monitoring programs, 3 being satisfactory, and I being used for very unreliable programs.

Column 71: Biomonitoring Information. Enter D for static testing. Enter F for flow through testing. Enter N for no biomonitoring.

Column 72: Quality Assurance Data Inspection. Enter Q if the inspection was conducted as follow-up on quality assurance sample results. Enter N otherwise.

Columns 73-80: These columns are reserved for regionally defined information.

Section B: Facility Data

This section is self-explanatory except for "Other Facility Data," which may include new information not in the permit or PCS (e.g., new outfalls, names of receiving waters, new ownership, other updates to the record, SIC/NAICS Codes, Latitude/Longitude).

Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

Check only those areas evaluated by marking the appropriate box. Use Section D and additional sheets as necessary. Support the findings, as necessary, in a brief narrative report. Use the headings given on the report form (e.g., Permit, Records/Repots) when discussing the areas evaluated during the inspection.

Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments

Briefly summarize the inspection findings. This summary should abstract the pertinent inspection findings, not replace the narrative report. Reference a list of attachments, such as completed checklists taken from the NPDES Compliance Inspection Manuals and pretreatment guidance documents, including effluent data when sampling has been done. Use extra sheets as necessary.

*Footnote: In addition to the inspection types listed above under column 18, a state may continue to use the following wet weather and CAFO inspection types until the state is brought into ICIS-NPDES: K: CAFO, V: SSO, Y: CSO, W: Storm Water 9: MS4. States may also use the new wet weather, CAFO and MS4 inspections types shown in column 18 of this form. The EPA regions are required to use the new wet weather, CAFO, and MS4 inspection types for inspections with an inspection date (DTIN) on or after July 1, 2005.